IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 0.05CD429
Plaintiff,) 8:05CR138)
vs.) ORDER
GUSTAVO AMBRIZ-SALDANA,)
Defendant.)
This matter is before the Court on the	e motion of defendant Gustavo Ambriz-Saldana
(Ambriz-Saldana) (Filing No. 48). The motion	on does not comply with NECrimR 12.3 (b), in that
the motion:	
(✓) Is not supported by a brief;	
() Is not supported by an Index of	of Evidence as required by NECrimR 12.3(b)(2)
or a statement of what evid	ence will be offered at an evidentiary hearing
requested for the motion;	
(✓) Is not accompanied by a state	ement verifying that counsel for the moving party
has conferred with opposing of	counsel in person or by telephone in a good-faith
effort to resolve by agreemer	nt the issues raised by the motion and that the
parties have been unable to r	each such an agreement;
() Which requests an evidential	ry hearing, does not contain a statement of the
estimated time needed for the	hearing, whether any interpreters will be needed,
or whether any codefendant sl	nould be present and/or participate in the hearing.
Accordingly, the motion (Filing No. 4	8) is :
	ding
(✔) Denied.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	

ADMONITION

Pursuant to NECrimR 57.2 any appeal of this Order shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of this Order. Failure to timely appeal may constitute a waiver of any objection to the Order. The brief in support of any appeal shall be filed at the time of filing such appeal. Failure to file a brief in support of any appeal may be deemed an abandonment of the appeal.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge